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## VOIDS AND QUESTION MARKS IN THE PRESENT-DAY dATA CONCERNING THE ROTATION PERIOD OF THE FIRST 1000 NUMBERED ASTEROIDS
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Currently, there are only 19 three-digit numbered asteroids - none of them within the first 500 - for which their rotation period is unknown. Chances are that all of the first 1000 asteroids will have a known period in just a few years. However, not all of the 981 present-day published rotation period data for asteroids numbered below 1000 are secure. Ongoing investigations to verify, refine, or revise existing periods remains an important endeavor, especially for the 17 asteroids for which the period is currently uncertain.

The more complete the sampling of asteroid rotation periods, the better astronomers can develop theories concerning the origin and dynamics of the minor planet system. No matter how large the database on asteroid rotation periods, which has being steadily growing at an accelerated pace, mostly due to the contribution from amateurs. A lot of hard work still awaits.

In the last issue of the Minor Planet Bulletin, Alan W. Harris (2015) properly put into perspective how far the field of asteroid photometry has come in the past forty years, i.e., since the first asteroid lightcurve observations were published in 1974 in the $M P B$. He remarked that, while prior to that year, there were known rotation periods for only 64 asteroids - some of them even wrong "today we have fairly reliable periods for more than 5000 asteroids." Taking into account that there are more than 650,000 asteroids with well-defined orbits, this means that we currently know the rotation period for less than $0.8 \%$ of that number.

This paper focuses on what we now know about the rotation period of the first 1000 numbered asteroids - as these are generally speaking the brightest ones and, therefore, generally the easiest asteroids to observe. At the time of this study (early 2015), there remain 19 asteroids for which no rotation period has been found in the literature (Table I).

Just one year ago, of all the 3-digit numbered asteroids there were 31 with no reported rotation period (Alvarez and Pilcher, 2014). Since then, a period has been reported for $12(\sim 40 \%)$ of those, including the only four asteroids numbered below 500 that remained without a reported rotation period. Considering how
rapidly such data voids have been filled, it is almost certain that by the end of the decade a reliable period will be found for all of the first 1000 numbered asteroids. However, the goal of determining the period for all 3-digit numbered asteroids will not be fully accomplished just by finding periods for the 19 remaining objects. Any measured rotation period value should also be - to put in Alan W. Harris' own words - "fairly reliable." Currently, 17 of the first 1000 numbered asteroids have only preliminary periods, i.e., not "fairly reliable."

The asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009) assigns a $U$ code, which provides an assessment of the quality of the period solution. A quality code $\mathrm{U}=3$ means that the corresponding rotation period is basically correct; $\mathrm{U}=2$ means that the found rotation period is likely correct, although it may be wrong by $30 \%$ or it is ambiguous (e.g., the half or double period may be correct); $\mathrm{U}=1$ means that the established rotation period may be completely wrong. According to the latest public release of the LCDB (2014 December 13), of the 981 asteroids numbered below 1000 that have a published rotation period, there are 17 that have been assignned $\mathrm{U}=1$ (Table II), 146 have $\mathrm{U}=2$ (Table III), and the remaining 818 asteroids are rated $\mathrm{U}=3$.

The 17 asteroids that been assigned $\mathrm{U}=1$ should be given higher priority when selecting new targets to work. Their respective period values need to be verified or refined. Figure 1 shows how their corresponding rotation periods are distributed. The median value is 18 hours, so that there are 8 asteroids with relatively short periods (from 4 up to 15 hours) and another 8 with relatively long periods (from 24 up to 150 hours). Of the second group, it will be particularly harder to resolve those asteroids that appear to have period values commensurable to the Earth's rotation (318 Magdalena, 467 Laura, 837 Schwarzschilda, and 957 Camelia). In order to obtain full lightcurve coverage, it will likely require the collaboration of several observers who are widely distributed in longitude. Such endeavors have become a growing practice.

The median period of the 146 asteroids assigned $U=2$ is 16.5 hours, or similar to the median value corresponding to the $\mathrm{U}=1$ group. There are 73 3-digit numbered asteroids with rotation periods shorter than 16.5 hours but that are not yet completely reliable. Given the relatively short periods, a single observer's time and resources might be best invested by first focusing on the periods that may be solidified from a single location.


Figure 1. The 17 3-digit asteroids which periods have been rated $U=1$. The periods are in hours, rounded to integer numbers.

| Number | Name | Number | Name |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 515 | Athalia | 843 | Nicolaia |
| 637 | Chrysothemis | 848 | Inna |
| 646 | Kastalia | 871 | Amneris |
| 703 | Noemi | 910 | Anneliese |
| 717 | Wisibada | 930 | Westphalia |
| 722 | Frieda | 941 | Murray |
| 767 | Bondia | 961 | Gunnie |
| 820 | Adriana | 991 | McDonalda |
| 835 | Olivia | 993 | Moultona |
| 842 | Kerstin |  |  |

Table I. The 19 asteroids numbered below 1000 for which no rotation parameters were known at the beginning of 2015.

| Number | Name | U | Period (h) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 249 | Ilse | 1 | 85.24 |
| 318 | Magdalena | 1 | 59.5 |
| 319 | Leona | 1 | 9.6 |
| 437 | Rhodia | 1 | 56 |
| 467 | Laura | 1 | 36.8 |
| 496 | Gryphia | 1 | 18.0 |
| 576 | Emanuela | $1-$ | 8.192 |
| 609 | Fulvia | $1+$ | 12 |
| 763 | Cupido | 1 | 14.88 |
| 795 | Fini | $1+$ | 9.292 |
| 821 | Fanny | 1 | 5.44 |
| 831 | Stateira | 1 | 4 |
| 837 | Schwarzschilda | 1 | 24 |
| 876 | Scott | 1 | 14 |
| 896 | Sphinx | 1 | 26.270 |
| 916 | America | 1 | 38 |
| 957 | Camelia | $1+$ | 150 |

Table II. The 17 asteroids numbered below 1000 for which the quality of the found rotation period appeared to be $U=1$ at the beginning of 2015. The rotation periods are expressed in hours and each shows as many significant digits as are currently known.

| Number | Name | U | Period (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 227 | Philosophia | 2 | 52.98 |
| 248 | Lameia | 2 | 12.00 |
| 254 | Augusta | 2 | 6.0 |
| 269 | Justitia | 2 | 16.545 |
| 279 | Thule | $2+$ | 15.962 |
| 299 | Thora | $2+$ | 274 |
| 305 | Gordonia | 2 | 16.2 |
| 314 | Rosalia | 2 | 20.43 |
| 329 | Svea | $2+$ | 22.77 |
| 331 | Etheridgea | 2 | 13.092 |
| 341 | California | $2+$ | 317 |
| 346 | Hermentaria | 2 | 28.43 |
| 357 | Ninina | $2+$ | 36.0105 |
| 375 | Ursula | 2 | 16.83 |
| 384 | Burdigala | 2- | 21.1 |
| 392 | Wilhelmina | 2 | 17.96 |
| 393 | Lampetia | 2- | 38.7 |
| 395 | Delia | 2 | 19.71 |
| 396 | Aeolia | 2- | 22.2 |
| 398 | Admete | 2 | 11.208 |
| 407 | Arachne | 2 | 22.62 |
| 421 | Zahringia | 2 | 6.42 |
| 422 | Berolina | 2 | 12.79 |
| 425 | Cornelia | 2 | 17.56 |
| 426 | Hippo | 2 | 34.3 |
| 431 | Nephele | 2 | 18.821 |
| 439 | Ohio | 2 | 19.2 |
| 445 | Edna | 2 | 19.97 |
| 449 | Hamburga | $2+$ | 18.263 |
| 450 | Brigitta | 2 | 10.75 |
| 455 | Bruchsalia | $2+$ | 11.838 |
| 458 | Hercynia | 2 | 22.3 |
| 460 | Scania | 2 | 9.56 |
| 464 | Megaira | 2 | 12.726 |
| 470 | Kilia | 2 | 290 |


| Number | Name | U | Period (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 477 | Italia | 2 | 19.42 |
| 478 | Tergeste | $2+$ | 16.104 |
| 481 | Emita | 2 | 14.35 |
| 491 | Carina | $2+$ | 15.153 |
| 494 | Virtus | $2+$ | 5.57 |
| 503 | Evelyn | 2 | 38.7 |
| 507 | Laodica | 2 | 6.737 |
| 521 | Brixia | 2- | 9.78 |
| 527 | Euryanthe | 2- | 26.06 |
| 529 | Preziosa | 2 | 27 |
| 537 | Pauly | $2+$ | 14.15 |
| 548 | Kressida | 2 | 11.9404 |
| 551 | Ortrud | 2 | 13.05 |
| 555 | Norma | $2+$ | 19.55 |
| 569 | Misa | 2 | 13.52 |
| 570 | Kythera | 2 | 8.120 |
| 581 | Tauntonia | 2 | 16.54 |
| 583 | Klotilde | 2 | 9.2116 |
| 589 | Croatia | $2+$ | 24.821 |
| 597 | Bandusia | 2 | 15.340 |
| 605 | Juvisia | 2 | 15.93 |
| 613 | Ginevra | 2 | 13.024 |
| 618 | Elfriede | 2 | 14.801 |
| 619 | Triberga | 2 | 29.412 |
| 622 | Esther | 2 | 47.5 |
| 625 | Xenia | 2 | 21.101 |
| 630 | Euphemia | 2 | 350 |
| 645 | Agrippina | 2 | 32.6 |
| 662 | Newtonia | 2 | 16.46 |
| 664 | Judith | $2+$ | 10.9829 |
| 666 | Desdemona | $2+$ | 14.607 |
| 673 | Edda | 2 | 14.92 |
| 676 | Melitta | 2 | 7.87 |
| 684 | Hildburg | 2 | 15.89 |
| 691 | Lehigh | $2+$ | 12.891 |
| 705 | Erminia | 2 | 53.96 |
| 707 | Steina | $2+$ | 414 |
| 716 | Berkeley | $2+$ | 15.55 |
| 730 | Athanasia | $2+$ | 5.7345 |
| 738 | Alagasta | 2 | 17.83 |
| 739 | Mandeville | 2 | 11.931 |
| 741 | Botolphia | 2- | 23.93 |
| 746 | Marlu | 2 | 7.787 |
| 748 | Simeisa | 2 | 11.919 |
| 761 | Brendelia | $2+$ | 57.96 |
| 764 | Gedania | 2 | 24.9751 |
| 768 | Struveana | $2+$ | 8.76 |
| 774 | Armor | 2 | 25.107 |
| 777 | Gutemberga | 2 | 12.88 |
| 783 | Nora | 2- | 34.4 |
| 784 | Pickeringia | 2 | 13.17 |
| 786 | Bredichina | $2+$ | 18.61 |
| 788 | Hohensteina | 2 | 29.94 |
| 791 | Ani | 2 | 16.72 |
| 807 | Ceraskia | 2 | 7.4 |
| 814 | Tauris | 2 | 35.8 |
| 819 | Barnardiana | $2+$ | 66.70 |
| 823 | Sisigambis | 2 | 146 |
| 828 | Lindemannia | 2 | 20.52 |
| 830 | Petropolitana | 2 | 39.0 |
| 838 | Seraphina | 2 | 15.67 |
| 845 | Naema | 2 | 20.892 |
| 846 | Lipperta | 2 | 1641 |
| 850 | Altona | $2+$ | 11.197 |
| 856 | Backlunda | 2 | 12.08 |
| 857 | Glasenappia | 2 | 8.23 |
| 858 | El Djezair | 2 | 22.31 |
| 859 | Bouzareah | 2- | 23.2 |
| 862 | Franzia | 2 | 7.52 |
| 863 | Benkoela | $2+$ | 7.03 |
| 866 | Fatme | 2 | 20.03 |
| 868 | Lova | 2 | 41.3 |
| 873 | Mechthild | 2 | 10.6 |
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| Number | Name | U | Period (h) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 874 | Rotraut | 2 | 14.586 |
| 879 | Ricarda | 2 | 82.9 |
| 882 | Swetlana | 2- | 20 |
| 887 | Alinda | 2 | 73.97 |
| 891 | Gunhild | 2 | 7.93 |
| 892 | Seeligeria | 2 | 41.40 |
| 895 | Helio | 2 | 9.3959 |
| 897 | Lysistrata | 2 | 11.26 |
| 900 | Rosalinde | 2 | 16.5 |
| 902 | Probitas | $2+$ | 10.117 |
| 903 | Nealley | 2 | 21.60 |
| 904 | Rockefellia | 2 | 5.82 |
| 917 | Lyka | 2 | 7.92 |
| 920 | Rogeria | 2- | 8.09 |
| 923 | Herluga | 2 | 19.746 |
| 926 | Imhilde | 2 | 26.8 |
| 927 | Ratisbona | 2 | 12.994 |
| 931 | Whittemora | 2 | 19.20 |
| 932 | Hooveria | $2+$ | 39.1 |
| 936 | Kunigunde | 2 | 8.80 |
| 938 | Chlosinde | 2 | 19.204 |
| 946 | Poesia | $2+$ | 108.5 |
| 949 | Hel | 2 | 10.862 |
| 952 | Caia | 2 | 7.51 |
| 953 | Painleva | 2- | 7.389 |
| 958 | Asplinda | 2 | 25.3 |
| 960 | Birgit | $2+$ | 8.85 |
| 965 | Angelica | 2 | 17.772 |
| 969 | Leocadia | 2 | 6.87 |
| 973 | Aralia | $2+$ | 7.29 |
| 981 | Martina | 2 | 11.267 |
| 982 | Franklina | 2- | 16 |
| 983 | Gunila | 2 | 8.37 |
| 988 | Appella | 2 | 120 |
| 992 | Swasey | 2 | 13.308 |
| 994 | Otthild | $2+$ | 5.95 |
| 997 | Priska | 2 | 16.22 |
| 999 | Zachia | 2 | 22.77 |

Table III. The 146 asteroids numbered below 1000 for which the quality of the found rotation period appeared to be $U=2$ at the beginning of 2015. The rotation periods are expressed in hours and each shows as many significant digits as are currently known.
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## ASTEROIDAL OCCULTATION BY 82 ALKMENE AND THE INVERSION MODEL MATCH
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On 2014 September 18, the asteroid 82 Alkmene occulted HIP 99229 for observers in the western United States. Four well-spaced chords allowed matching these observations with one of the two convex shape models available for this asteroid. Results of this event can be found on the North American Asteroidal Occultation Results webpage.

The history of asteroidal occultation observations has been reviewed before (Timerson et al., 2009). Successful predictions (Preston, 2009) and observations have increased dramatically, especially since 1997, aided by high-accuracy star catalogs and asteroid ephemerides (Dunham et al., 2002). Other prediction information is available in Timerson et al., 2009.

The techniques and equipment needed to make these observations are outlined in the IOTA manual (Nugent, 2007). Observations are reported to a regional coordinator who gathers these observations and uses a program called Occult4 (Herald, 2015) to produce a profile of the asteroid at the time of the event. The asteroidal occultation data are officially deposited and archived and made available to the astronomical community through the NASA Planetary Data System (Dunham et. al., 2014). Additional tools such as asteroid lightcurves (Warner, 2011) and asteroid models derived from inversion techniques (Durech et al., 2010) can be combined with occultation results to yield high resolution profiles.

| Names | Telescope | Imager | Time Inserter | Integration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C. Arrowsmith, W. Anderson | 28 cm SCT | Mallincam | IOTA-VTI | 2 frames |
| J. Bardecker | 30 cm SCT | Mallincam | IOTA-VTI | No |
| T. Beard | 20 cm SCT | PCl65DNR | IOTA-VTI | 2 frames |
| C. Coburn, T. Smoot, H. Hill | 36 cm SCT | Mallincam | IOTA-VTI | No |
| H. Gimple | 28 cm SCT | Mallincam | IOTA-VTI | frames |
| C. McPartlin | 13 cm Refr | Stellacam II | IOTA-VTI | No |
| W. Morgan | 20 cm SCT | PCl64C | IOTA-VTI | No |
| K. Schindler, J. Wolf | $60 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{R-C}$ | Andor DU-888 | Other GPS | No |

Table 1. Observers and the equipment used. SCT = Schmidt-Cassearain. Refr $=$ Refractor. R-C = Richev-Chrétien

